EXHIBIT 11

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 1 of 16

EILED
LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

AMENDED OTOTOS SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 1

JUL 3 1 2003

SPECIAL VERDICT NO [DESIGN DEFECT] JOHN A. CLARKE, CLERK
BY E. SABALBURO, DEPUT)

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us:

Question No. 1

Was there a defect in design?

YES NO

2

Answer:

10

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 1, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 2—Failure to Warn. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question

Question No. 2

Did the defect exist when the product left the possession of the defendant?

YES NO

Answer:

Z

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 2—Failure to Warn. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Question No. 3

Was the defect a cause of injury to plaintiff?

YES NO

Answer:

Z

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 3, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 2—Failure to Warn. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 2 of 16

Question No. 4

Was plaintiff's injury caused by a use of the product that was reasonably foreseeable by the defendant?

YES NO

Answer:

2

Date and sign this verdict and proceed to Special Verdict No. 2—Failure to Warn.

Date: 7/31/103

Foreperson:

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 3 of 16

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 2 [FAILURE TO WARN]

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us:

Ouestion No. 1

Was there a defect resulting from a failure to warn occurring after January 1964, when plaintiff switched to defendant's product, and before July 1, 1969?

YES NO
Answer: O 1Z

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 1, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 3—Negligent Design. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Ouestion No. 2

Did the defect exist when the product left the possession of the defendant?

YES NO
Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 3—Negligent Design. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Question No. 3

Was the defect a cause of injury to plaintiff?

YES NO

Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 3, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 3—Negligent Design. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 4 of 16

Question No. 4

Was the plaintiff's injury caused by a use of the product that was reasonably foreseeable by the defendant?

YES

NO

Answer:

Date and sign this verdict and proceed to Special Verdict No. 3—Negligent Design.

Date: 7/31/'03

Foreperson: A. W. Kurge

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 5 of 16

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 3 [NEGLIGENT DESIGN]

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us:

Otator our min dangerous successions to as-	
Ouestion No. 1	
Was the defendant negligent?	•

•			
Question No. 1			
Was the defendant neg	gligent?	•	
	YES	NO	
Answer:	_2_	10	•
If you answered "NO" to verdict, and proceed to Special Verd you answered "YES," then answer the	ict No. 4—Inte	ntional Misrep	
Question No. 2		•	
Was the negligence of to plaintiff?	the defendant	a cause of inj	ury or damag
	YES	NO	
Answer:	2		
Date and sign this verdi	ct and proceed	to Special Ver	dict No. 4—
			_
Date: 7/31/103	Foreperson:	H-W.	Kum

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 6 of 16

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 4 [INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION]

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us:

Question No. 1

Did defendant make a representation as to a past or existing material fact?

YES NO

Answer: 1Z O

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 1, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 5—Concealment. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Question No. 2

Was the representation false?

YES NO
Answer: //

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 5—Concealment. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 7 of 16

<u>Ouestion No. 3a</u>			
Did defendant know that	the represe	ntation was fals	se when it
made it?	_		
•	YES	NO	
A	1		
Answer:			
Question No. 3b			
Did defendant make the n knowing whether it was true or false?		on recklessly w	ithout
	YES	NO	
Answer:		1	
If you answered "NO" to O this verdict, and proceed to Special Ver "YES," to Question No. 3a or Question	dict No. 5—	Concealment. I	f you answered
Ouestion No. 4			
Did defendant make the	representat	on with an inte	ent to defraud
plaintiff?			
	YES	NO	
Answer:	1		
If you answered "NO" to overdict, and proceed to Special Verdict "YES," then answer the next question.			
Question No. 5			
Was plaintiff aware of th	e falsity of	the representat	ion?
	YES	NO	
Answer:		1	
If you answered "YES" to verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict "NO," then answer the next question.	Question N No. 5—Cor	o. 5, then date and accealment. If yo	nd sign this ou answered

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 8 of 16

Question No. 6

Did plaintiff act in reliance upon the truth of the representation?

YES NO
Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 6, then date and sign this verdict, then proceed to Special Verdict No. 5—Concealment. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Question No. 7

Was plaintiff justified in relying upon the representation?

YES NO
Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 7, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 5—Concealment. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Question No. 8

Was plaintiff injured or damaged as a result of his reliance upon the truth of the representation?

YES NO
Answer:

Date and sign this verdict and proceed to Special Verdict No. 5—Concealment.

Date: 7/31/03

Foreperson: HW. Kum

0091

Exhibit // , Page 65

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 11 of 16

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 6 [NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION]

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following Special

	Did the defendant	make a	representation	as to a	past or	existing
material fac	et?					

Verdict on the questions submitted to	o us:		
Question No. 1			
Did the defendant mal material fact?	ke a representa	ation as to a pa	st or existing
	YES	NO	
Answer:	12	0	
If you answered "NO" overdict, and proceed to Special Verd answered "yes," then answer the next	ict No. 7-Med		
Question No. 2			
Was the representation	n untrue?		
	YES	. NO	
Answer:	. 1	11	
If you answered "NO" to verdict, and proceed to Special Verd answered "YES," then answer the ne	ict No. 7—Med		
Question No. 3			
Regardless of defenda	nt's actual beli	ief, did defenda	nt make the

representation without any reasonable ground for believing it to be true?

	YES	NO
Answer:		

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 3, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 7-Medical Causation. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

0094

Exhibit // , Page 66

Reller v.	Philip	Morris-S	pecial Verdic	t-Page i	2 of 16
-----------	--------	----------	---------------	----------	---------

Question No. 4

Was the representation by defendant made with the intent to induce plaintiff to rely upon it?

YES NO
Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 4, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 7—Medical Causation. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Question No. 5

Was plaintiff aware of the falsity of the representation?

YES NO
Answer:

If you answered "YES" to Question No. 5, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 7—Medical Causation. If you answered "NO," then answer the next question.

Question No. 6

Did plaintiff act in reliance upon the truth of the representation?

YES NO
Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 6, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 7—Medical Causation. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 13 of 16

Question No. 7

Was plaintiff justified in relying upon the representation?

YES NO
Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 7, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 7—Medical Causation. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Question No. 8

Was plaintiff injured or damaged as a result of his reliance upon the truth of the representation?

YES NO

Answer:

Date and sign this verdict and proceed to Special Verdict No. 7—Medical Causation.

Date: 7/31/103

Foreperson:

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 14 of 10

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 7 [MEDICAL CAUSATION]

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us:

Question No. 1

Was plaintiff's smoking of cigarettes a substantial factor in bringing about his injury?

YES NO
Answer: 17 O

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 1, then date and sign this verdict, and do not go further. If you answered "YES," then proceed to Special Verdict No. 8—Damages.

1/31/103

HW. Rugs

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 15 of 16

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 8 [DAMAGES]

Answer Special Verdict No. 8 if, but only if, you have answered "YES" to Special Verdict No. 7 and "YES" to one or more of the previous questions listed immediately below:

Question No. 4 in Special Verdict No. 1

Question No. 4 in Special Verdict No. 2

Question No. 2 in Special Verdict No. 3

Question No. 8 in Special Verdict No. 4

Question No. 5 in Special Verdict No. 5

Question No. 8 in Special Verdict No. 6

If you have not answered "YES" to Special Verdict No. 7 and one or more of the previous questions listed immediately above, do not consider either this Special Verdict No. 8 or Special Verdict No. 9—Fraud, Malice or Oppression, and return the verdicts which you have signed.

Question No. 1

What do you find to be the total amount of damages, including economic and non-economic damages, if any, suffered by plaintiff and caused by the wrongful conduct upon which you base your findings of liability?

Answer:	(a)	Economic Damages:	\$
	(b)	Non-Economic Damages:	\$
		TOTAL:	\$
T£		-101- N- 1 (910 DA)	

If you answered Question No. 1 "NO DAMAGE," date and sign this verdict, do not consider Special Verdict No. 9—Fraud, Malice or Oppression, and return the verdicts which you have signed.

If you answered Question No. 1 with a dollar amount, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 9—Fraud, Malice or Oppression.

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 9 of 16

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 5 [CONCEALMENT]

We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us:

Ouestion No. 1 AFTER JAN WARY, 1964 WHEN MERRICE BREAD SMOKING PHILIP MORRES

PRODUCT Defore Int. 1965, did defendant conceal or suppress a material

O. Change

7/21/03

YES NO

Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 1, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 6—Negligent Misrepresentation. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Question No. 2

Did defendant intentionally conceal or suppress the fact with the intent to defraud plaintiff?

YES NO

Answer:

If you answered "NO" to Question No. 2, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 6—Negligent Misrepresentation. If you answered "YES," then answer the next question.

Ouestion No. 3

Was plaintiff aware of the fact at the time he acted?

YES NO

Answer:

If you answered "YES" to Question No. 3, then date and sign this verdict, and proceed to Special Verdict No. 6—Negligent Misrepresentation. If you answered "NO," then answer the next question.

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 10 of 1	Reller v.	Philip	Morris-S	pecial Ve	rdict-Page	10 of	16
--	-----------	--------	----------	-----------	------------	-------	----

Question N	<u>0. 4</u>		
	Would plaintiff have acted as he did if he h	ad know	n
concealed o	or suppressed fact?		

concealed or sup	•	icted as he did	it he had known of the
		YES	NO
	Answer:		·
verdict, and proce		ict No. 6-Neg	o. 4, then date and sign this ligent Misrepresentation. If
Question No. 5			
	plaintiff injured ouppression of the		a result of the defendant's
		YES	NO
	Answer:		
			
Date 6—Negligent Mis		ct form and pro	oceed to Special Verdict No.
	·		
Date:		Foreperson:	

Exhibit // , Page 12

Reller v. Philip Morris-Special Verdict-Page 16 of 16

SPECIAL VERDICT NO. 9 [FRAUD, MALICE OR OPPRESSION]

Question No. 1

Do you find by clear and convincing evidence that defendant was guilty of oppression, malice, or fraud in the conduct on which you base your finding of liability:

	YES	NO	
Answer:	***************************************	······································	
Date and sign this verdict.			
Date:	Forenerson		